

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/483,854 01/17/00 KNUST R 13169 **EXAMINER** QM12/0821 TIM COOK ASHBURN, S BRACEWELL & PATTERSON ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P 0 B0X 61389 HOUSTON TX 77208-1389 3713 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

08/21/01

| | Applicati n No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|---|
| Office Acti n Summary | 09/483,854 | KNUST ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Steven L Ashburn | 3713 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | | 1 |
| Period for Reply | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of this d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17</u> | January 2000 . | |
| | his action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disp sition of Claims | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |

Application/Control Number: 09/483,854

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

ELECTION/RESTRICTIONS

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9 and 16, drawn to a gambling table tracking system mounted to a platform, classified in class 463, subclass 25.
- II. Claims 10-15, drawn to a platform, classified in class 108, subclass 92.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the tracking system can be mounted to any platform located proximal to a gambling table or device. The subcombination has separate utility such as platform for supporting drinks, cameras, or lights.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/483,854

Art Unit: 3713

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Ashburn whose telephone number is 703 305 3543. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace

can be reached on 703 308 1148. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application

or proceeding is assigned are 703 305 3590 for regular communications and 703 308 3579 for After

Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 1078.

Steven Ashburn August 8, 2001

> MICHAEL O'NEILL PRIMARY EXAMINER

MUCHEL

Page 3